IN THE TAX COURT OF CANADA

BETWEEN: 9203-8371 QUÉBEC INC.

APPELLANT,

-and-

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

RESPONDENT.

BEFORE: The Honourable Justice Gaston Jorré

PLACE: Montreal, Quebec

DATE: March 28, 2013

APPEARANCES: No one is present for the appellant

Louis Riverain, for the respondent

--- REASONS FOR DECISION ---

COURT REGISTRAR: Nicole Champagne

Hélène Amélie Boudreau-Laforge, Transcriber

564 Route 280, Dundee, New Brunswick

E8E 1Z1

Telephone or Fax: (506) 826-1115

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WITNESS PAGE

- Decision rendered orally

3 - 6

***Please note that the words in quotation marks in the French original represent either words that are not in common French usage or words with improper agreement or that were mispronounced.

***Please note that a word followed by (sic) indicates an obvious error by the speaker.

DECISION RENDERED ORALLY BY THE 1 HONOURABLE JUSTICE GASTON JORRE 2 3 [This version of the transcript is a revision of the 4 transcript certified by the official stenographer that was made by the Judge to improve the reasons' style and clarity.] 6 7 CLERK: 8 9 Hearing reconvened. 10 11 THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE: 12 13 I begin by noting that it is past 10:50 a.m. and there is 14 still no one present for the appellant. 15 16 17 The respondent has brought a motion to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution on the part of the appellant. For the 18 following reasons, I allow the motion. 19 20 21 Obviously, when a person does not proceed with a case, an 22 appeal may be dismissed for want of prosecution. However, in this case, there is another circumstance that I must consider. 23 24 25 Yesterday afternoon, the Registry received a letter from counsel for the appellant. A copy of that letter was also sent 26

to counsel for the respondent, but since he was away on

business, he only became aware of the letter this morning.

29

27

```
The letter was addressed to one of the Court's hearings
1
    coordinators and it stated as follows:
2
3
    [TRANSLATION]
4
5
               We have been instructed and wish to advise the
6
               Court that our client has just put itself under
7
               the protection of the B.I.A. . . .
8
9
          I assume that stands for Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act.
10
11
    [TRANSLATION]
12
13
14
               ... and that a trustee in bankruptcy has been
15
               retained in that regard.
16
17
               A notice of stay of proceedings will be filed in
18
               the record very shortly and, therefore, we will
               not be present in Court on March 28, 2013.
19
20
               Sincerely . . .
21
         And the letter is signed by counsel for the appellant. I
22
    am satisfied that section 29 does not apply for the reasons
23
    set out below.
24
25
          Subsection 29(1) of the Tax Court of Canada Rules
26
    (General Procedure) provides:
27
28
```

1 Where at any stage of a proceeding the interest 2 or liability of a person who is a party to a 3 proceeding in the Court is transferred or transmitted to another person by assignment, 4 bankruptcy, death or other means, no other proceedings shall be instituted until the 6 Registrar is notified of the transfer or 7 transmission and the particulars of it. 8 9 Subsections (2) and (3) set out what happens if the 10 section is applicable. 11 12 Although the letter says that the appellant has put 13 itself under the protection of the Bankruptcy and Insolvency 14 Act, it does not say that the appellant filed an assignment or 15 that a bankruptcy order was made. There is no evidence before 16 17 me that an assignment was filed or that an order was made. 18 19 Section 71 of the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act provides 20 that property is not vested in the trustee until an assignment is filed or a bankruptcy order is made. 21 22 Accordingly, there was no transmission of an interest and 23 section 29 of the General Procedure rules does not apply. 24 25 I would add that I do not know exactly what is being 26 referred to in the second paragraph of the letter received 27

from counsel for the appellant yesterday,

namely,

1 paragraph that says:

1 A notice of stay of proceedings will be filed in 2 the record very shortly 3 If it is a notice of intention pursuant to section 50.4 4 of the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, this seems to suggest 5 that it has yet to be filed. However, as I said, I do not know 6 7 what is being referred to. 8 I note that there is no evidence before me that a notice 9 intention within the meaning of the Bankruptcy and 10 Insolvency Act has been filed. 11 12 13 Also, I would add that, even if there were a notice of intention, it is not at all obvious to me that the stay of 14 proceedings in section 69 of the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act 15 could apply in the case before me as section 69 stays any 16 17 remedies that may be pursued by creditors. This appeal is an appeal by the taxpayer against an assessment. These are not 18 19 proceedings brought by a creditor. In any case, as I said, there is no evidence that a notice of intention has been 20 filed. 21 22

In conclusion, section 29 of the General Procedure rules does not apply and, given the appellant's failure to proceed with its appeal, the appeal is dismissed. Thank you. Translation certified true on this 17th day of July 2013.

Erich Klein, Revisor

CITATION:	2013 TCC 110
COURT FILE NO.:	2011-1947(GST)G
STYLE OF CAUSE:	9203-8371 QUÉBEC INC. v. THE QUEEN
PLACE OF HEARING:	Montreal, Quebec
DATE OF HEARING:	March 28, 2013
REASONS FOR JUDGMENT BY:	The Honourable Justice Gaston Jorré
DATE OF JUDGMENT:	March 28, 2013
DATE OF REASONS FOR JUDGME DELIVERED ORALLY:	ENT March 28, 2013
DATE OF REVISED TRANSCRIPT OF REASONS FOR JUDGEMENT:	April 17, 2013
APPEARANCES:	
For the appellant:	No one appeared
Counsel for the respondent:	Louis Riverin
COUNSEL OF RECORD:	
For the appellant:	
Name:	
Firm:	
For the respondent:	William F. Pentney Deputy Attorney General of Canada Ottawa, Ontario