F ederal Court of A ppeal



# Cour d'appel fédérale

Date: 20100309

**Docket: A-552-07** 

**Citation: 2010 FCA 71** 

CORAM: NOËL J.A.

LAYDEN-STEVENSON J.A.

STRATAS J.A.

**BETWEEN:** 

GEORGE ALBERTO DEMARCHI

**Appellant** 

and

# HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

Respondent

Heard at Toronto, Ontario, on March 9, 2010.

Judgment delivered from the Bench at Toronto, Ontario, on March 9, 2010.

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT BY:

LAYDEN-STEVENSON J.A.

F ederal Court of A ppeal



# Cour d'appel fédérale

Date: 20100309

**Docket: A-552-07** 

**Citation: 2010 FCA 71** 

CORAM: NOËL J.A.

LAYDEN-STEVENSON J.A.

STRATAS J.A.

**BETWEEN:** 

#### GEORGE ALBERTO DEMARCHI

**Appellant** 

and

#### HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

Respondent

## <u>REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT</u> (Delivered from the Bench at Toronto, Ontario, on March 9, 2010)

#### **LAYDEN-STEVENSON J.A.**

[1] This appeal is from the judgment of Justice McArthur of the Tax Court of Canada (the judge) dismissing the appellant's appeal from reassessments of the Minister of National Revenue (the Minister) under the *Income Tax Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. 1 (5<sup>th</sup> Supp.) (the Act) for the 1999 and 2000 taxation years. The Minister disallowed the business expenses and reduced the employment expenses claimed by the appellant.

Page: 2

[2] The respondent (the Crown), as a preliminary issue, submits that the appellant has failed to

comply with the orders of Justices Létourneau and Sharlow of this Court with respect to the

composition and completeness of the appeal book. The orders are dated April 8, 2008 and February

10, 2009 respectively. The Crown requests that the appeal be dismissed on that basis. While we are

of the view that the deficiency in the record, which is incomplete, is fatal to the appeal, we will

comment, nonetheless, on the merits.

[3] The appellant's argument turns on the judge's findings of fact and credibility. To succeed,

he must demonstrate palpable and overriding error on the judge's part. Our review of the record

leads us to conclude that the judge did not err in concluding as he did. The judge was not satisfied

that the claimed expenses could be lawfully claimed or deducted under the Act. We can see no

reason to interfere with that finding.

[4] The appellant's allegation of unfairness was raised for the first time during oral argument.

The appellant was provided a full opportunity to present evidence, cross-examine witnesses and

make submissions before the judge. Consequently, he cannot succeed on this new ground.

[5] The appeal will be dismissed with costs.

"Carolyn Layden-Stevenson"

J.A.

### FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL

### NAMES OF COUNSEL AND SOLICITORS OF RECORD

**DOCKET:** A-552-07

(AN APPEAL FROM THE TAX COURT OF CANADA, FROM THE REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C. H. MCARTHUR, DATED NOVEMBER 13, 2007, IN TAX COURT FILE NO.: 2004-3538(IT)G)

STYLE OF CAUSE: GEORGE ALBERTO DEMARCHI.

v. HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

PLACE OF HEARING: TORONTO, ONTARIO

**DATE OF HEARING:** MARCH 9, 2010

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF

THE COURT BY: (NOËL, LAYDEN-STEVENSON &

STRATAS JJ.A.)

**DELIVERED FROM THE BENCH BY:** LAYDEN-STEVENSON J.A.

**APPEARANCES:** 

George DeMarchi FOR THE APPELLANT (SELF-

REPRESENTED)

Bobby Sood FOR THE RESPONDENT

**SOLICITORS OF RECORD:** 

N/A FOR THE APPELLANT (SELF-

REPRESENTED)

John H. Sims, O.C. FOR THE RESPONDENT

Deputy Attorney General of Canada