Date: 20080228

Docket: A-121-07

Citation: 2008 FCA 79

CORAM: DÉCARY J.A.

LÉTOURNEAU J.A. SHARLOW J.A.

BETWEEN:

DANIEL BEDADA

Appellant (Applicant)

and

SOLICITOR GENERAL

Respondent (Respondent)

Heard at Winnipeg, Manitoba, on February 28, 2008.

Judgment delivered at Winnipeg, Manitoba, on February 28, 2008.

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT BY:

DÉCARY J.A.

Date: 20080228

Docket: A-121-07

Citation: 2008 FCA 79

CORAM: DÉCARY J.A.

LÉTOURNEAU J.A. SHARLOW J.A.

BETWEEN:

DANIEL BEDADA

Appellant (Applicant)

and

SOLICITOR GENERAL

Respondent (Respondent)

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT

DÉCARY J.A.

- [1] Despite the able submissions of Mr. Matas, we have not been persuaded that Mr. Justice Phelan committed any reviewable error when he found that the claim had become moot by the time it was argued before him (2007 FC 121).
- [2] Nor have we been persuaded that the Judge, having found that the case was moot, erred when in the exercise of his discretion, he decided to dismiss the application without deciding its merit.
- [3] The appeal will be dismissed with costs.

"Robert Décary"
T 1

J.A.

FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL

NAMES OF COUNSEL AND SOLICITORS OF RECORD

DOCKET: A-121-07

(APPEAL FROM A JUDGMENT OF THE FEDERAL COURT DATED FEBRUARY 5, 2007, DOCKET NO. T-371-05)

STYLE OF CAUSE: DANIEL BEDADA v.

SOLICITOR GENERAL

PLACE OF HEARING: Winnipeg, MB

DATE OF HEARING: February 28, 2008

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT BY: Décary, Létourneau, Sharlow JJ.A.

DELIVERED FROM THE BENCH BY: Décary, J.A.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. David Matas FOR THE APPELLANT

Mr. Scott Farlinger FOR THE RESPONDENT

SOLICITORS OF RECORD:

David Matas, Barrister & Solicitor FOR THE APPELLANT

Winnipeg, MB

John H. Sims, Q.C., FOR THE RESPONDENT

Deputy Attorney General of Canada – Ottawa, ON