Date: 20071010

Docket: A-657-04

Citation: 2007 FCA 319

BETWEEN:

SHAFIK DOSSA

Applicant

and

PENSION APPEALS BOARD and MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCES AND DEVELOPMENT

Respondents

ASSESSMENT OF COSTS - REASONS

Charles E. Stinson Assessment Officer

- [1] The Court dismissed with costs this application for judicial review of a decision of the Pension Appeals Board concerning a long-term disability pension. I issued a timetable for written disposition of the assessment of the Respondents' bill of costs.
- [2] Counsel for the Respondents filed a supporting affidavit, did not lead any written submissions in chief and indicated that he would await the Applicant's submissions before responding. Counsel for the Applicant indicated that, in the absence of submissions by the Respondents, the Applicant was not in a position to make reply submissions and that no further submissions regarding the assessment of costs were forthcoming. I view these circumstances as

Page: 2

indicative of the absence of consent by the Applicant to the amount of costs sought. The Federal

Courts Rules do not contemplate a litigant benefiting by having an assessment officer step away

from a neutral position to act as the litigant's advocate in challenging given items in a bill of costs.

However, the assessment officer cannot certify unlawful items, i.e. those outside the authority of the

judgment and the tariff. I examined each item claimed in the bill of costs and the supporting

materials within those parameters.

[3] One item does warrant intervention. I disallow counsel fee item 24 further to my

conclusions in Marshall v. Canada, [2006] F.C.J. No. 1282 at para. 6 (A.O.) that there must be a

visible direction by the Court to the assessment officer specifically authorizing fees for the time of

counsel in transit. Such a direction is not however necessary to assess the associated travel

disbursements. The other items of costs are reasonable in these circumstances. The Respondents'

bill of costs, presented at \$2,985.30, is assessed and allowed at \$2,343.30.

"Charles E. Stinson"
Assessment Officer

FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL

SOLICITORS OF RECORD

DOCKET: A-657-04

STYLE OF CAUSE: SHAFIK DOSSA

v. PENSION APPEALS BOARD et al.

ASSESSMENT OF COSTS IN WRITING WITHOUT PERSONAL APPEARANCE OF THE PARTIES

REASONS FOR ASSESSMENT OF COSTS: CHARLES E. STINSON

DATED: October 10, 2007

WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS:

n/a FOR THE APPLICANT

n/a FOR THE RESPONDENTS

SOLICITORS OF RECORD:

Norain a. Mohamed FOR THE APPLICANT

Calgary, AB

John H. Sims, Q.C. FOR THE RESPONDENTS

Deputy Attorney General of Canada