Date: 20080410

**Docket: T-151-05** 

**Citation: 2008 FC 465** 

**BETWEEN:** 

### RODERICK EVAN BROWN

**Appellant** 

and

### CANADA CUSTOMS AND REVENUE AGENCY

Respondent

## **ASSESSMENT OF COSTS - REASONS**

### <u>Charles E. Stinson</u> Assessment Officer

- [1] The Court dismissed with costs this application for judicial review to set aside a determination that the Applicant had not made a voluntary disclosure of his failure to remit GST.

  I issued a timetable for written disposition of the assessment of the Respondent's bill of costs.
- [2] The Applicant did not file any materials in response to the Respondent's materials. My view, often expressed in comparable circumstances, is that the *Federal Courts Rules* do not contemplate a litigant benefiting by having an assessment officer step away from a neutral position to act as the litigant's advocate in challenging given items in a bill of costs. However, the assessment officer cannot certify unlawful items, i.e. those outside the authority of the judgment and

Page: 2

the tariff. I examined each item claimed in the bill of costs and the supporting materials within those

parameters. The total amount claimed is generally arguable as reasonable within the limits of the

award of costs and is allowed as presented at \$2,688.75.

"Charles E. Stinson"

Assessment Officer

## **FEDERAL COURT**

# **SOLICITORS OF RECORD**

**DOCKET:** T-151-05

**STYLE OF CAUSE:** RODERICK EVAN BROWN v. CCRA

ASSESSMENT OF COSTS IN WRITING WITHOUT PERSONAL APPEARANCE OF THE PARTIES

**REASONS FOR ASSESSMENT OF COSTS:** CHARLES E. STINSON

**DATED:** April 10, 2008

**WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS:** 

n/a FOR THE APPLICANT

Ms. Jade Boucher FOR THE RESPONDENT

**SOLICITORS OF RECORD:** 

n/a FOR THE APPLICANT

John H. Sims, Q.C. FOR THE RESPONDENT

Deputy Attorney General of Canada