Date: 20080215

Docket: IMM-431-07

Citation: 2008 FC 194

Ottawa, Ontario, February 15, 2008

PRESENT: The Honourable Mr. Justice Phelan

BETWEEN:

ABDUL RASHEED

Applicant

and

THE MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION

Respondent

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT AND JUDGMENT

[1] This is a judicial review of a PRRA decision where the Officer found that there was no new risk established between the risk examined by the Refugee Protection Division (RPD) and that alleged in the PRRA.

- [2] The RPD had rejected the Applicant's claim based largely upon lack of credibility of his own testimony as well as the existence of an internal flight alternative (in this instance, in Islamabad). No application for leave of the RPD decision was filed.
- [3] Notwithstanding the valiant efforts of new counsel to rescue the Applicant from the consequences of the RPD's decision, using the PRRA decision, I am not persuaded.
- [4] Whatever may be said about the Officer's analysis (and I do not wish to imply that I agree that it was legally infirmed), the fact remains that no new risk was submitted in the PRRA decision.

 A PRRA is not to be a collateral attack on the RPD decision.
- [5] Therefore, this judicial review is dismissed. No question for certification exists.

JUDGMENT

THIS COURT ORDERS AND ADJUDGES that this application for judicial review is	
dismissed.	
	"Michael L. Phelan"
	Judge

FEDERAL COURT

SOLICITORS OF RECORD

DOCKET: IMM-431-07

STYLE OF CAUSE: ABDUL RASHEED

and

THE MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP AND

IMMIGRATION

PLACE OF HEARING: Toronto, Ontario

DATE OF HEARING: December 12, 2007

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT

AND JUDGMENT: Phelan J.

DATED: February 15, 2008

APPEARANCES:

Mr. Micheal Crane FOR THE APPLICANT

Mr. John Loncar FOR THE RESPONDENT

SOLICITORS OF RECORD:

MR. MICHEAL CRANE FOR THE APPLICANT

Barrister & Solicitor Toronto, Ontario

MR. JOHN H. SIMS, Q.C. FOR THE RESPONDENT

Deputy Attorney General of Canada

Toronto, Ontario