

Federal Court



Cour fédérale

**Date: 20251010**

**Docket: IMM-11177-25**

**Citation: 2025 FC 1677**

**Ottawa, Ontario, October 10, 2025**

**PRESENT: The Honourable Madam Justice Furlanetto**

**BETWEEN:**

**INDERPREET SINGH**

**Applicant**

**and**

**MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP  
AND IMMIGRATION**

**Respondent**

**JUDGMENT AND REASONS**  
**(Simplified Procedure-Study Permit Pilot Project)**

[1] This is an application under the Study Permit Pilot Project, brought pursuant to subsection 72(1) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act*, SC 2001, c 27, in which the Applicant requests leave to commence an application for judicial review and a favourable result to his application. The Applicant seeks to review an April 23, 2025 decision of an Officer of Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada in file number S307843115 [Decision].

[2] The Court grants leave to commence the application for judicial review and grants the judicial review application for the reasons below.

[3] The Officer refused the Applicant's study permit application because the Applicant's assets and financial situation were insufficient to support the stated purpose of travel . The Officer was not satisfied that the Applicant had sufficient or available financial resources, without working in Canada, to pay tuition fees over the course of the program and to maintain themselves during the period of study.

[4] The Global Case Management System [GCMS] notes acknowledge that the Applicant provided financial documentation in support of his application, stating only that on review the Officer was "not satisfied that the applicant ha[d] sufficient finances readily available to fully cover their tuition and living costs while studying in Canada."

[5] The Applicant provided a tuition receipt and proof of a GIC to cover the first year of studies. He also provided income tax and other documents to establish the income of his parents who would be supporting him financially for his remaining years. The documents indicate that the Applicant's father earns an annual income equivalent to CAD \$91,225, and his mother earns the equivalent of CAD \$7,743. Additionally, his father holds savings equivalent to CAD \$25,186.06, and his mother has savings equivalent to CAD \$5,397.18.

[6] The Officer does not engage with this evidence and provides no explanation as to why they do not consider these funds to be readily available and sufficient to cover the Applicant's further years of study when the estimated costs for each of the further years of study is \$41,000.

[7] In my view, this lack of justification renders the Decision unreasonable.

[8] The judicial review application will thus be granted, the Decision set aside, and the matter remitted to a different decision maker for redetermination.

[9] In view of the structure of the Study Permit Pilot Project, there is no question for certification.

**JUDGMENT IN IMM-11177-25**

**THIS COURT'S JUDGMENT is that:**

1. Leave is granted to commence the application for judicial review.
2. The judicial review application is granted.
3. The April 23, 2025 decision of Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada bearing file number S307843115 is set aside, and the matter is remitted to be redetermined by a different officer.
4. There is no question for certification.

"Angela Furlanetto"

---

Judge

**FEDERAL COURT**  
**SOLICITORS OF RECORD**

**DOCKET:** IMM-11177-25

**STYLE OF CAUSE:** INDERPREET SINGH v MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP  
AND IMMIGRATION

**SUBMISSIONS ON STUDY PERMIT PERFECTED LEAVE  
APPLICATION CONSIDERED AT OTTAWA, ONTARIO PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 72 OF THE *IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE PROTECTION ACT***

**JUDGMENT AND REASONS** FURLANETTO J.  
**(SIMPLIFIED PROCEDURE-  
STUDY PERMIT PILOT  
PROJECT)**

**DATED:** OCTOBER 10, 2025

**WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS BY:**

Inderpreet Singh

FOR THE APPLICANT  
(ON HIS OWN BEHALF)

Yan Wang

FOR THE RESPONDENT

**SOLICITORS OF RECORD:**

Attorney General of Canada  
Edmonton, Alberta

FOR THE RESPONDENT